

FARLEY POST OFFICE

MOYNIHAN STATION REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT



FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PREPARED FOR:

Empire State Development Corporation

PREPARED BY:

AKRF, Inc.

AUGUST 2006

**FARLEY POST OFFICE/MOYNIHAN STATION
REDEVELOPMENT
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (FEIS)**

Project Location:	Farley Complex: Block bounded by Eighth and Ninth Avenues and West 31st and 33rd Streets Development Transfer Site: Western portion of block bounded by Seventh and Eighth Avenues and West 33rd and 34th Streets New York City
Lead Agency:	Empire State Development Corporation 633 Third Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10017
Lead Agency Contact:	Rachel Shatz
Project Applicant:	Moynihan Station Development Corporation 633 Third Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10017
Preparers:	AKRF, Inc. 440 Park Avenue South New York, NY 10016 Bryan Cave LLP 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104 Eng-Wong Taub & Associates Two Penn Plaza, Suite 2630 New York, NY 10121

Acceptance Date: August 2nd, 2006

Copies of the FEIS are available for review at the Empire State Development Corporation or at www.empire.state.ny.us. Please call 212-803-3818 to arrange an appointment.

Table of Contents

Foreword	F-1
Executive Summary	S-1
1: Project Description	1-1
A. Project Identification.....	1-1
B. Project Purpose and Need	1-2
Introduction	1-2
James A. Farley Complex	1-2
Project History and Previous Proposals for the Project Site	1-3
Goals And Objectives.....	1-4
C. Description of the Proposed Project	1-5
Introduction	1-5
Preferred Developer Designation Process	1-6
Developer Proposals.....	1-6
Elements Common to All Proposals	1-6
Developer “A” Proposal.....	1-7
Developer “B” Proposal	1-7
Developer “C” Proposal	1-8
Phase I Reasonable Worst-Case Development.....	1-9
Phase II Illustrative Development	1-12
Required Approvals	1-12
D. Planning Context.....	1-13
Planning Context.....	1-13
Hudson Yards Rezoning.....	1-13
Access to the Region’s Core (ARC).....	1-13
Other Projects Within the Penn Station Complex	1-14
E. Ownership and Financing for the Proposed Project.....	1-14
Property Ownership	1-14
Public Funding Commitments.....	1-15
2: Analytical Framework	2-1
A. Introduction.....	2-1
B. Environmental Review Process	2-1
Legislative Applicability	2-1
Process Overview.....	2-2
Establishing a Lead Agency	2-2
Determination of Significance.....	2-2
Scoping.....	2-2
Preparation of the DEIS	2-3
Public Review	2-3

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Preparation and Completion of the FEIS	2-3
	Statement of Findings	2-3
	General Project Plan Process	2-4
	Coordination with NEPA and Federal Review Process.....	2-4
C.	Required Approvals/List of Principal Actions	2-4
	ESDC Actions.....	2-4
	MSDC Actions.....	2-5
D.	Framework for Environmental Analyses.....	2-5
	Scope of the Environmental Analyses	2-5
	Definition of the Future Without the Proposed Action.....	2-5
	Definition of the Proposed Action	2-6
	Relationship with Other Project Area Actions.....	2-7
	Relationship to Prior Project Site Environmental Reviews	2-9
	Existing Conditions.....	2-9
	Future Without the Proposed Action	2-9
	Definition of Study Areas	2-12
	Alternatives.....	2-14
3:	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	3-1
A.	Introduction	3-1
	Principal Conclusions	3-1
B.	Methodology	3-1
C.	Existing Conditions	3-2
	Development History.....	3-2
	Land Use.....	3-4
	Project Site.....	3-4
	Study Area	3-4
	Zoning.....	3-8
	Zoning Districts	3-8
	Special Districts	3-10
	Public Policy.....	3-15
	Project Site.....	3-15
	Study Area	3-16
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010.....	3-16
	Land Use.....	3-16
	Project Site.....	3-17
	Study Area	3-17
	Zoning.....	3-18
	Public Policy.....	3-19
E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	3-18
	Land Use.....	3-18
	Project Site.....	3-18
	Study Area	3-18
	Zoning.....	3-20
	Scenario 1	3-20
	Scenario 2	3-21
	Public Policy.....	3-21
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015.....	3-22

Land Use	3-22
Project Site	3-22
Study Area.....	3-22
Surrounding Context	3-23
Zoning	3-24
Public Policy	3-24
G. Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	3-24
Land Use	3-24
Project Site	3-24
Study Area.....	3-24
Surrounding Context	3-25
Zoning	3-25
Public Policy	3-25
4: Socioeconomic Conditions	4-1
A. Introduction.....	4-1
Background/Issues	4-1
Principal Conclusions.....	4-1
Direct Residential Displacement.....	4-2
Direct Business and Institutional Displacement.....	4-2
Indirect Residential Displacement.....	4-2
Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement	4-3
Adverse Effects of Specific Industries	4-4
B. Methodology.....	4-4
CEQR Manual Overview	4-4
Analysis Format and Data Sources	4-7
Analysis Format	4-7
Study Area Delineation	4-7
Data Sources.....	4-7
C. Preliminary Assessment.....	4-8
Direct Residential Displacement	4-8
Direct Business and Institutional Displacement.....	4-9
Phase I	4-9
Phase II (Scenario 2)	4-9
Indirect Residential Displacement	4-10
Population and Housing Profile of the Study Area	4-10
Future Without the Proposed Action.....	4-17
CEQR Assessment Criteria	4-18
Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement	4-21
Employment and Business Profile of Study Area.....	4-21
Future Without the Proposed Action.....	4-26
CEQR Assessment Criteria	4-27
Adverse Effects on Specific Industries	4-30
5: Community Facilities and Services	5-1
A. Introduction.....	5-1
B. Principal Conclusions	5-1
Police.....	5-1
Fire	5-1

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Public Schools	5-2
C.	Methodology	5-2
D.	Police Protection	5-2
	Existing Conditions	5-3
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	5-3
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	5-4
	Scenario 1	5-4
	Scenario 2	5-4
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	5-5
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	5-5
E.	Fire Protection and Emergency Services.....	5-5
	Existing Conditions	5-5
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	5-6
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	5-6
	Scenario 1	5-6
	Scenario 2	5-7
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	5-7
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	5-7
F.	Public Schools	5-7
	Existing Conditions	5-7
	Elementary Schools	5-8
	Intermediate/Junior High Schools	5-8
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	5-9
	Elementary Schools	5-11
	Intermediate/Junior High Schools	5-12
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	5-12
	Scenario 1	5-12
	Scenario 2	5-12
	Elementary Schools	5-13
	Intermediate/Junior High Schools	5-14
	6: Open Space	6-1
A.	Introduction	6-1
	Principal Conclusions	6-1
B.	Methodology	6-2
C.	Existing Conditions	6-3
	Open Space User Population	6-3
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-3
	½-Mile Study Area	6-3
	Open Space Inventory.....	6-3
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-3
	½-Mile Study Area	6-5
	Quantitative Assessment (Open Space Ratios).....	6-5
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-5
	½-Mile Study Area	6-6
	Qualitative Assessment.....	6-6
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010.....	6-7
	No Action Project Populations	6-7

	Farley Complex	6-7
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-7
	½-Mile Study Area	6-9
	Open Space Inventory	6-9
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-9
	½-Mile Study Area	6-9
	Quantitative Assessment	6-9
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-9
	½-Mile Study Area	6-10
	Qualitative Assessment	6-11
E.	Future With The Proposed Action: 2010	6-12
	Project Populations.....	6-12
	Scenario 1	6-12
	Scenario 2.....	6-12
	Open Space Inventory	6-13
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-13
	½-Mile Study Area	6-13
	Quantitative Assessment	6-13
	¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1)	6-13
	½-Mile Study Area (Scenario 2)	6-13
	Qualitative Assessment	6-13
	Conclusion.....	6-14
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	6-14
	No Action Project Populations	6-14
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-14
	½-Mile Study Area	6-14
	Open Space Inventory	6-14
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-14
	Quantitative Assessment	6-15
	¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1)	6-15
	Qualitative Assessment	6-15
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	6-16
	¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1)	6-16
	Project Populations.....	6-16
	Open Space Inventory	6-16
	Quantitative Assessment	6-16
	Qualitative Assessment	6-17
	Conclusion.....	6-17
	7: Shadows.....	7-1
A.	Introduction.....	7-1
B.	Major Conclusions	7-1
C.	Methodology.....	7-1
D.	Screening	7-2
E.	Potentially Affected Resources	7-3
	Open Spaces	7-3
	One Penn Plaza.....	7-3
	Historic Resources	7-4

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Train Concourse Skylight	7-4
	Intermodal Hall Skylight	7-4
	West Side Jewish Center.....	7-4
F.	Shadow Effects by Season	7-4
	Western Annex Overbuild	7-5
	March 21/September 21—Analysis Period: 7:36 AM to 4:29 PM EST	7-5
	May 6/August 6—Analysis Period: 7:27 AM to 6:18 PM DST	7-5
	June 21—Analysis Period: 6:57 AM to 7:01 PM DST.....	7-5
	December 21—Analysis Period: 8:51 AM to 2:53 PM EST	7-5
	Development Transfer Site Building	7-6
	March 21/September 21—Analysis Period: 7:36 AM to 4:29 PM EST	7-6
	May 6/August 6—Analysis Period: 7:27 AM to 6:18 PM DST	7-6
	June 21—Analysis Period: 6:57 AM to 7:01 PM DST.....	7-6
	December 21—Analysis Period: 8:51 AM to 2:53 PM EST	7-7
G.	Shadow Effects by Resource	7-7
	Eastern Caemmerer Yard Open Space.....	7-7
	One Penn Plaza	7-7
	Train Concourse Skylight.....	7-7
	Intermodal Hall Skylight	7-7
	West Side Jewish Center	7-8
8:	Historic Resources.....	8-1
A.	Introduction	8-1
	Principal Conclusions	8-2
	Scenario 1	8-2
	Phase I.....	8-2
	Phase II	8-3
	Scenario 2	8-3
B.	Methodology	8-4
	Overview	8-4
	Study Area (the Area of Potential Effect).....	8-4
	Criteria and Regulations	8-4
C.	Existing Conditions	8-5
	Project Site.....	8-5
	Farley Complex.....	8-5
	Development Transfer Site	8-8
	Study Area	8-9
	Loft Building (S/NR-Eligible).....	8-9
	St. Michael’s Roman Catholic Church (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-9
	Cheyenne Diner (S/NR-Eligible).....	8-10
	William F. Sloan Memorial YMCA (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible).....	8-10
	Former J.C. Penney Company (S/RN-Eligible).....	8-11
	Glad Tidings Tabernacle (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-11
	Loft Building (S/NR-Eligible).....	8-11
	Pennsylvania Station Service Building (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible).....	8-12
	West Side Jewish Center (S/NR-Eligible)	8-12
	Former Manhattan Opera House (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-12
	New Yorker Hotel (Nycl-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-13

	Morgan General Mail Facility (S/NR-Eligible)	8-13
	Former French Hospital (S/NR-Eligible)	8-13
	Pennsylvania Building (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-14
	Hoover Building (S/NR-Eligible)	8-14
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	8-14
	Farley Complex	8-15
	Development Transfer Site.....	8-15
	Study Area.....	8-16
E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	8-16
	Introduction	8-16
	Scenario 1	8-17
	Farley Complex	8-17
	Study Area.....	8-24
	Scenario 2.....	8-24
	Development Transfer Site.....	8-25
	Study Area.....	8-25
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	8-25
	Project Site	8-25
	Study Area.....	8-25
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	8-26
	Scenario 1	8-26
	Farley Complex	8-26
	Study Area.....	8-27
9: Urban Design and Visual Resources		9-1
A.	Introduction.....	9-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	9-1
	Phase I (Scenario 1 and Scenario 2)	9-1
	Phase II (Scenario 1)	9-2
	Phase II (Scenario 2)	9-3
B.	Methodology	9-3
C.	Existing Conditions.....	9-5
	Project Site	9-5
	Urban Design.....	9-5
	Visual Resources	9-6
	Study Area.....	9-6
	Urban Design.....	9-6
	Visual Resources	9-9
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	9-11
	Project Site	9-11
	Urban Design.....	9-11
	Visual Resources	9-11
	Study Area.....	9-12
	Urban Design.....	9-12
	Visual Resources	9-13
E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	9-13
	Project Site	9-13
	Scenario 1	9-13

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Scenario 2	9-16
	Study Area	9-16
	Scenario 1	9-16
	Scenario 2	9-17
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015.....	9-18
	Project Site.....	9-18
	Study Area	9-18
	Urban Design	9-18
	Visual Resources.....	9-19
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015.....	9-20
	Project Site.....	9-20
	Scenario 1	9-20
	Study Area	9-21
	Scenario 1	9-21
10: Neighborhood Character.....		10-1
A.	Introduction	10-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	10-1
B.	Methodology	10-2
C.	Existing Conditions	10-3
	Superblock Corridor	10-3
	Hell’s Kitchen.....	10-5
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District.....	10-6
	Chelsea.....	10-7
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010.....	10-8
	Superblock Corridor	10-8
	Hell’s Kitchen.....	10-8
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District.....	10-8
	Chelsea.....	10-9
E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	10-9
	Superblock Corridor	10-9
	Scenario I.....	10-9
	Scenario 2	10-10
	Hell’s Kitchen.....	10-11
	Scenario 1	10-11
	Scenario 2	10-11
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District.....	10-11
	Scenario I.....	10-11
	Scenario 2	10-12
	Chelsea.....	10-12
	Scenario 1	10-12
	Scenario 2	10-13
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015.....	10-13
	Superblock Corridor	10-13
	Hell’s Kitchen.....	10-13
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District.....	10-14
	Chelsea.....	10-14
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015.....	10-14

Superblock Corridor	10-14
Hell’s Kitchen	10-15
Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District	10-16
Chelsea	10-16
11: Hazardous Materials	11-1
A. Introduction.....	11-1
B. Conclusions.....	11-2
Farley Complex	11-2
Development Transfer Site.....	11-2
C. Methodology	11-2
Farley Complex	11-2
Development Transfer Site.....	11-3
D. Existing Conditions.....	11-3
Farley Complex	11-3
Land Use History	11-3
Potential for Site Contamination	11-4
Development Transfer Site.....	11-6
E. Future Without the Proposed Action	11-6
F. Future With the Proposed Action	11-6
Farley Complex	11-6
Types of Potential Impacts	11-6
Preventative Measures to Minimize Impacts	11-7
Further Investigations.....	11-7
Measures to Manage Contaminated Materials During Construction	11-8
Acm Management Plan	11-9
LBP Management Plan.....	11-9
PCB-Containing Equipment Management Plant.....	11-9
Development Transfer Site.....	11-10
Conclusions	11-10
12: Infrastructure, Solid Waste and Sanitation Services, and Energy.....	12-1
A. Introduction.....	12-1
Principal Conclusions.....	12-1
Water Supply.....	12-1
Sanitary Sewage	12-1
Solid Waste	12-2
Energy	12-2
B. Methodology	12-2
Water Supply.....	12-2
Sanitary Sewage	12-3
Solid Waste	12-3
Energy	12-3
C. Existing Conditions.....	12-3
Water Supply.....	12-3
Sanitary Sewage	12-4
Solid Waste	12-6
Energy	12-6
D. Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	12-7

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Water Supply	12-7
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-8
	Solid Waste.....	12-8
	Energy.....	12-9
E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	12-9
	Water Supply	12-10
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-10
	Solid Waste.....	12-11
	Energy.....	12-12
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015.....	12-12
	Water Supply	12-12
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-13
	Solid Waste.....	12-13
	Energy.....	12-13
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015.....	12-14
	Water Supply	12-14
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-15
	Solid Waste.....	12-15
	Energy.....	12-15
13:	Traffic and Parking	13-1
A.	Introduction	13-1
	Principal Conclusions	13-1
B.	Methodology	13-1
	Overview	13-1
	Travel Demand Projections	13-2
	Trip Generation Procedures	13-2
	Trip Generation Estimates	13-3
	Future Without the Proposed Action	13-7
	Future With the Proposed Action	13-8
	Roadway Characteristics	13-16
	Operational Analysis Methodology	13-18
	Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis.....	13-18
C.	Existing Conditions.....	13-19
	Study Area Roadways and Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	13-19
	Tenth Avenue.....	13-19
	Ninth Avenue.....	13-19
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway.....	13-19
	Eighth Avenue	13-20
	Seventh Avenue	13-20
	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-20
	West 34th Street.....	13-21
	Level of Service Analysis.....	13-21
	Summary of Analysis Results.....	13-22
	Locations with Substandard Operating Levels or High v/c Ratios.....	13-23
	Parking.....	13-28
	Off-Street Parking.....	13-28
	On-Street Parking	13-28

D.	Future Without the Proposed Action 2010	13-32
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	13-32
	Tenth Avenue	13-32
	Ninth Avenue	13-32
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway	13-33
	Eighth Avenue	13-33
	Seventh Avenue.....	13-33
	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-33
	West 34th Street.....	13-34
	Other Crosstown Streets.....	13-34
	Parking	13-36
	Off-Street Parking	13-36
	On-Street Parking.....	13-37
E.	Future with the Proposed Action 2010	13-37
	Trip Distribution and Assignment.....	13-37
	Autos	13-37
	Taxis	13-38
	Taxi Diversions from Penn Station to Moynihan Station	13-45
	Deliveries	13-45
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	13-46
	Tenth Avenue	13-46
	Ninth Avenue	13-46
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway	13-46
	Eighth Avenue.....	13-47
	Seventh Avenue.....	13-47
	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-47
	West 34th Street.....	13-48
	Other Crosstown Streets.....	13-48
	Significant Impacts.....	13-54
	Parking	13-55
	Off-Street Parking	13-55
	On-Street Parking.....	13-56
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action 2015	13-56
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	13-57
	Tenth Avenue	13-57
	Ninth Avenue	13-57
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway	13-58
	Eighth Avenue.....	13-58
	Seventh Avenue.....	13-58
	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-58
	West 34th Street.....	13-59
	Other Crosstown Streets.....	13-59
	Parking	13-68
	Off-Street Parking	13-68
	On-Street Parking.....	13-68
G.	Future With the Proposed Action 2015	13-69
	Trip Distribution and Assignment.....	13-69
	Autos	13-69

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Taxis	13-69
	Taxi Diversions from Penn Station to Moynihan Station	13-69
	Deliveries	13-70
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service.....	13-70
	Significant Impacts	13-73
	Parking.....	13-80
	Off-Street Parking.....	13-80
	On-Street Parking	13-81
H.	Pedestrian Safety	13-82
	14: Transit and Pedestrians.....	14-1
A.	Introduction	14-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	14-1
B.	Methodology	14-2
	Overview	14-2
	Travel Demand Projections	14-2
	Related Environmental Reviews.....	14-3
	Transit.....	14-4
	Study Area	14-4
	Transit Service in the Study Area	14-4
	Transit Ridership Estimates	14-8
	Operational Analysis Methodology	14-9
	Pedestrians	14-10
	Pedestrian Study Area.....	14-10
	Pedestrian Volumes	14-10
	Operations Analysis Methodlogy	14-10
C.	Existing Conditions	14-12
	Subway Station Operations.....	14-12
	Pedestrian Circulation.....	14-13
D.	2010 Future Analysis Year.....	14-21
	The Future Without the Proposed Action	14-22
	Changes in the Transit and Pedestrian Network	14-22
	Analysis Results.....	14-22
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	14-31
	Project-Generated Trip Assignment	14-31
	Transit and Pedestrian Improvements.....	14-32
	Analysis Results.....	14-33
E.	2015 Future Analysis Year.....	14-43
	The Future Without the Proposed Action	14-44
	Changes in the Transit and Pedestrian Network	14-44
	Analysis Results.....	14-44
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project	14-54
	Changes in the Transit and Pedestrian Network	14-54
	Transit and Pedestrian Improvements.....	14-55
	Analysis Results.....	14-55
	15: Air Quality	15-1
A.	Introduction	15-1
	Principal Conclusions	15-1

B.	Pollutants for Analysis.....	15-2
	Carbon Monoxide.....	15-2
	Nitrogen Oxides, VOCs, and Ozone	15-2
	Lead.....	15-3
	Respirable Particulate Matter—PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}	15-3
	Sulfur Dioxide.....	15-4
C.	Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks.....	15-4
	National and State Air Quality Standards	15-4
	State Implementation Plan (SIP).....	15-5
	Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts.....	15-7
	De Minimis Criteria Regarding CO Impacts.....	15-7
	Interim Guidance Criteria Regarding PM _{2.5} Impacts.....	15-7
	Conformity Requirements.....	15-8
D.	Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations	15-9
	Mobile Sources.....	15-9
	Dispersion Model for Microscale Analyses	15-10
	Meteorology	15-10
	Analysis Year.....	15-11
	Vehicle Emissions Data.....	15-12
	Traffic Data	15-13
	Background Concentrations	15-13
	Mobile Source Analysis Sites.....	15-14
	Receptor Locations.....	15-14
	Relationship with Other Area Actions—Hudson Yards Rezoning and Redevelopment Plan.....	15-14
	Stationary Sources.....	15-15
	Industrial Sources.....	15-15
	Regional Emissions Analysis.....	15-16
	NJT and Amtrak-Induced Ridership	15-17
	Two-Seat Ride Program to Area Airports-Remote Check-in at Penn Station.....	15-17
	Reduced Taxi Circulation-Additional Taxi Idling (Due to New Taxi Bay).....	15-17
	Reduction of USPS Truck Idling While Entering Loading Docks.....	15-17
	Analysis Assumptions.....	15-18
E.	Existing Conditions.....	15-18
	Existing Monitored Air Quality Conditions (2004).....	15-18
	Modeled Existing Pollutant Concentrations—CO Emissions from Mobile Sources	15-19
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action	15-19
	Mobile Sources.....	15-19
	Carbon Monoxide.....	15-19
G.	Future With the Proposed Action	15-22
	Mobile Sources.....	15-22
	Carbon Monoxide.....	15-22
	Stationary Sources.....	15-25
	Industrial Sources	15-25
H.	Regional Emissions Analysis.....	15-25

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

16: Noise16-1

- A. Introduction 16-1
 - Principal Conclusions 16-1
 - Noise Fundamentals..... 16-1
 - “A”-Weighted Sound Level (dBA)..... 16-2
 - Community Response to Changes in Noise Levels 16-3
 - Noise Descriptors Used in Impact Assessment 16-4
- B. Noise Prediction Methodology..... 16-4
 - Applicable Noise Codes and Impact Criteria 16-6
 - New York City Noise Code 16-6
 - New York CEQR Noise Standards 16-6
- C. Existing Conditions 16-6
 - Project Site Description 16-6
 - Selection of Noise Receptor Locations..... 16-8
 - Noise Monitoring..... 16-8
 - Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring..... 16-8
 - Existing Noise Levels at Noise Receptor Locations..... 16-9
 - Measured Noise Levels..... 16-9
- D. The Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010..... 16-10
- E. The Future With the Proposed Action: 2010..... 16-10
- F. The Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015..... 16-13
- G. The Future With the Proposed Action: 2015..... 16-15
- H. Other Noise Concerns 16-16
 - Mechanical Equipment 16-16
 - Emergency Ventilation Equipment..... 16-17
 - Attenuation Requirements 16-17

17: Construction Impacts17-1

- A. Introduction 17-1
 - Principal Conclusions 17-1
- B. Overview of Construction Activities..... 17-2
 - Construction Phases..... 17-3
 - General Construction Activities..... 17-3
 - Demolition and Abatement..... 17-4
 - Rail Access and Operations 17-4
 - Historic Preservation..... 17-5
 - Moynihan Station and the Farley Complex 17-6
 - Overbuild (Core and Shell) Construction 17-6
 - Interior Construction and Finishing 17-7
 - Developer Proposals 17-7
 - Developer “A” Proposal 17-7
 - Developer “B” Proposal..... 17-7
 - Developer “C” Proposal..... 17-7
- C. Future With the Proposed Action 17-8
 - Postal Service..... 17-8
 - Historic Resources 17-8
 - Hazardous Materials 17-10
 - Transportation..... 17-11

Street and Sidewalk Closures	17-11
Traffic	17-12
Pedestrians.....	17-12
Transit.....	17-13
Air Quality	17-13
Fugitive Emissions	17-13
Mobile Source Emissions.....	17-14
Noise	17-15
18: Public Health.....	18-1
A. Introduction and Methodology	18-1
B. Principal Conclusions	18-2
19: Mitigation	19-1
A. Introduction.....	19-1
B. Community Facilities.....	19-1
Fire Protection and Emergency Services.....	19-1
Public Schools	19-1
C. Historic Resources	19-2
Phase I of the Proposed Project.....	19-2
Phase II of the Proposed Project	19-3
D. Hazardous Materials	19-3
E. Traffic and Parking	19-5
2010 Recommended Mitigation Measures.....	19-5
Sixth Avenue and West 35th Street.....	19-5
Seventh Avenue and West 33rd Street	19-5
Seventh Avenue and West 34th Street	19-7
Eighth Avenue and West 30th Street	19-8
Eighth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-8
Eighth Avenue and West 33rd Street	19-8
Eighth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-8
Ninth Avenue and West 30th Street	19-8
Ninth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-8
Ninth Avenue and West 31st Street	19-9
Dyer Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-10
Tenth Avenue and West 31st Street	19-10
2015 Recommended Mitigation Measures.....	19-10
Broadway/Sixth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-16
Sixth Avenue and West 31st Street	19-16
Sixth Avenue and West 35th Street.....	19-16
Seventh Avenue and West 30th Street	19-16
Seventh Avenue and West 33rd Street	19-16
Seventh Avenue and West 34th Street	19-16
Eighth Avenue and West 30th Street	19-17
Eighth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-17
Eighth Avenue and West 32nd Street.....	19-17
Eighth Avenue and West 33rd Street	19-17
Eighth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-17
Eighth Avenue and West 35th Street	19-17

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Ninth Avenue and West 30th Street.....	19-17
	Ninth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-17
	Ninth Avenue and West 34th Street.....	19-18
	Dyer Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 30th Street.....	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 33rd Street.....	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 34th Street.....	19-18
F.	Transit and Pedestrians.....	19-19
	Pedestrian Elements.....	19-19
	2010 Recommended Mitigation Measures.....	19-19
	2015 Recommended Mitigation Measures.....	19-20
G.	Air Quality.....	19-22
20: Alternatives.....		20-1
A.	Introduction.....	20-1
	Overview.....	20-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	20-1
B.	No Action Alternative.....	20-2
	Description of Alternative.....	20-2
	Alternative Compared to the Proposed Project.....	20-2
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy.....	20-2
	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	20-3
	Community Facilities.....	20-4
	Open Space and Recreational Facilities.....	20-4
	Shadows.....	20-5
	Historic Resources.....	20-5
	Urban Design and Visual Resources.....	20-5
	Neighborhood Character.....	20-5
	Hazardous Materials.....	20-5
	Infrastructure and Energy.....	20-6
	Traffic and Parking.....	20-6
	Transit and Pedestrians.....	20-9
	Air Quality.....	20-10
	Noise.....	20-10
	Construction Impacts.....	20-10
	Public Health.....	20-11
C.	Arena Alternative: Relocated Madison Square Garden Plus Off-Site Mixed-Use Development Transfer Site Building.....	20-11
	Description of the Arena Alternative.....	20-11
	Analytical Framework for the Arena Alternative.....	20-11
	Alternative Compared to the Proposed Project.....	20-14
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy.....	20-14
	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	20-15
	Community Facilities.....	20-16
	Open Space.....	20-16
	Shadows.....	20-17
	Historic Resources.....	20-17

Urban Design and Visual Resources	20-18
Neighborhood Character	20-18
Hazardous Materials.....	20-19
Infrastructure and Energy.....	20-20
Traffic and Parking.....	20-21
Transit and Pedestrians.....	20-21
Air Quality.....	20-22
Noise.....	20-23
Construction Impacts.....	20-23
Public Health	20-24
D. Additional Development Transfer	20-24
Description of Alternative.....	20-24
Alternative Compared to the Proposed Project	20-25
21: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts	21-1
22: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Action	22-1
23: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	23-1
24: Short Term Uses vs. Long Term Productivity	24-1
25: Response To Comments On The DEIS.....	25-1
A. Introduction.....	25-1
B. Organizations And Individuals Who Commented On The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).....	25-1
C. Response To Comments	25-3
General Comments And Project Purpose And Need.....	25-3
Project Description.....	25-6
Land Use, Zoning, And Public Policy.....	25-10
Open Space.....	25-11
Historic Resources	25-13
Traffic And Parking	25-14
Transit And Pedestrians	25-16
Construction Impacts.....	25-17
Mitigation.....	25-17
Alternatives	25-18

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Assessment of Morgan Facility Consolidation

Appendix B: Environmental Justice

Appendix C: Historic Resources

Appendix D: Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Appendix E: NYCDOT Memo

List of Tables

S-1	Floor Area of Land Use Components: 2003 Draft SEA, Developer Proposals, and Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario (in square feet)	S-6
S-2	2010 Traffic Impact Locations	S-18
S-3	2015 Traffic Impact Locations	S-24
S-4	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday AM Peak Hour	S-26
S-5	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday Midday Peak Hour	S-27
S-6	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday PM Peak Hour	S-27
S-7	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Saturday Midday Peak Hour	S-28
S-8	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday AM Peak Hour	S-31
S-9	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday Midday Peak Hour	S-32
S-10	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday PM Peak Hour	S-33
S-11	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Saturday Midday Peak Hour	S-34
1-1	Floor Area of Land Use Components: 2003 Draft Sea, Developer Proposals, and Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario (in square feet)	1-10
2-1	Comparison of Farley Complex Land Use Components: No Action and Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenarios (in square feet)	2-6
2-2	Developments Completed in 2004 but Not Included in the DEIS Baseline Data Collection	2-10
2-3	Development Proposed or Under Construction Expected to Be Completed in the Future Without the Proposed Action (Not Associated with Hudson Yards Project)	2-11
2-4	Development Proposed to Be Completed in the Future Without the Proposed Project (Non Rezoning Elements of Hudson Yards Project)	2-12
2-5	Projected Development Sites to Be Completed in the Future Without the Proposed Project – Hudson Yards Rezoning	2-13
4-1	Population Trends	4-11
4-2	Household and Population Income Characteristics	4-12
4-3	Household and Population Income Characteristics by Study Area Census Tracts	4-12
4-4	Housing Characteristics	4-13
4-5	Housing Characteristics: Unit Sizes and Rents	4-14

4-6	Single Room Occupancy Units in the Study Area.....	4-15
4-7	Major Residential Development in the Study Area Completed Since 2000.....	4-16
4-8	2004 Asking Rents at Selected Midtown West Apartment Buildings	4-16
4-9	1991 and 2000 Private Sector Employment.....	4-22
4-10	Private Sector Employment In the Study Area	4-23
5-1	Police Protection	5-3
5-2	Fire Protection Services	5-6
5-3	2003–2004 School Year: Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization.....	5-9
5-4	Future Without the Proposed Action: Proposed Development Expected to Be Completed in the Study Area.....	5-10
5-5	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010—Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Generated by the New Housing Units	5-11
5-6	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010—Estimated Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollment Capacity and Utilization	5-12
5-7	Future With the Proposed Action, Scenario 2: 2010—Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Generated by the New Housing Units	5-13
5-8	Future With the Proposed Action Scenario 2: 2010—Estimated Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization	5-13
6-1	Existing Resident and Daytime Populations	6-3
6-2	Existing Open Space Inventory	6-4
6-3	Analysis of Adequacy of Existing Public Open Space Resources in the ¼- and ½-Mile Study Areas	6-6
6-4	Estimated Residential and Daytime Population from Projects Expected to Be Completed by 2010.....	6-8
6-5	Hudson Yards Projected Development in the ¼- and ½-Mile Study Areas 2010 Analysis Year	6-8
6-6	Analysis of Adequacy of Public Open Space Resources in the ¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1): 2010	6-10
6-7	Analysis of Adequacy of Public Open Space Resources in the ½-Mile Study Area (Scenario 2): 2010	6-11
6-8	Estimated Residential and Daytime Population within the ¼-Mile Study Area from Projects Expected to Be Completed by 2015	6-14
6-9	Hudson Yards Projected Development Located Within The ¼-Mile Study Area: 2015 Build Year	6-15
6-10	Analysis of Adequacy of Public Open Space Resources in the ¼-Mile Study Area: 2015	6-16
7-1	Shadows Screening: Open Spaces/Historic Resources within Maximum Shadow Sweep Area	7-3
7-2	Phase II Overbuild: Shadow Duration Table.....	7-5
7-3	Phase II Development Transfer Site Building: Shadow Duration Table.....	7-6
8-1	Architectural Resources.....	8-9
12-1	Monthly Flow to North River WPCP.....	12-5

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

12-2 Farley Complex Water Usage and Sewage Generation Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010 (in gallons per day)12-7

12-3 Farley Complex Solid Waste Generation Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010 (in pounds per week).....12-9

12-4 Proposed Project Water Usage and Sewage Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 201012-10

12-5 Proposed Project Solid Waste Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 2010 ...12-11

12-6 Proposed Project Energy Usage Future With the Proposed Action: 201012-13

12-7 Proposed Project Water Usage and Sewage Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 201512-14

12-8 Proposed Project Solid Waste Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 2015 ..12-16

12-9 Proposed Project Energy Usage Future With the Proposed Action: 201512-16

13-1 Travel Demand Assumptions13-4

13-2 Rail Road Uses-Incremental Ridership13-6

13-3 Trip Generation-USPS, Office, and Retail Uses 2010 Future Without the Proposed Action13-9

13-4 Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2010 Future Without the Proposed Action13-10

13-5 Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2015 Future Without the Proposed Action13-11

13-6 RWCDs Trip Generation-Retail, Hotel, and Banquet Uses 2010 Future With the Proposed Action13-13

13-7 Incremental Trip Generation 2010 Future With the Proposed Action13-14

13-8 Trip Generation-Hotel, Retail, and Residential Uses 2010 Phase II Off-Site13-14

13-9 Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2010 Future With the Proposed Action13-15

13-10 Trip Generation-Office Use 2015 Phase II Overbuild13-16

13-11 Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2015 Future With the Proposed Action13-17

13-12 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results13-24

13-13 Existing Off-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy13-29

13-14 Existing Saturday on-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy13-31

13-15 Existing and 2010 No Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results.....13-40

13-16 2010 No Build and Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results13-49

13-17 2010 Build Increment Parking Assignments Per Facility13-56

13-18 2010 Build Off-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy13-56

13-19 Existing and 2015 No Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results.....13-61

13-20 2015 No Build and Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results13-74

13-21 2015 Build Increment Parking Assignments Per Facility13-81

13-22 2015 Build Off-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy13-81

13-23 Summary of High Bicyclist and Pedestrian Accident Locations13-82

14-1 2010 and 2015 Build Conditions Incremental Increase in Person Trip Generation (RWCDs – As-of-Right).....14-2

14-2 Study Area Subway Service14-7

14-3 Study Area Bus Routes14-8

14-4 Level of Service Criteria for Subway Station Elements14-9

14-5	Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements	14-12
14-6	Existing Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways	14-14
14-7	Existing Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways	14-15
14-8	Existing Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Control Areas	14-16
14-9	Existing Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Control Areas	14-16
14-10	Existing Conditions AM, Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Sidewalks ...	14-17
14-11	Existing Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Corners	14-19
14-12	Existing Conditions AM, Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Crosswalks .	14-20
14-13	2010 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways.....	14-23
14-14	2010 No Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways.....	14-24
14-15	2010 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Control Areas	14-25
14-16	2010 No Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Control Areas	14-25
14-17	2010 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Sidewalks.....	14-27
14-18	2010 No Build Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Corners.....	14-29
14-19	2010 No Build Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Crosswalks	14-30
14-20	2010 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways.....	14-33
14-21	2010 No Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways.....	14-34
14-22	2010 Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Control Areas	14-35
14-23	2010 Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Control Areas	14-36
14-24	2010 Build Conditions – Analysis of Subway Stairway Impacts.....	14-36
14-25	2010 Build Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Sidewalks.....	14-38
14-26	2010 Build Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Corners.....	14-40
14-27	2010 Build Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Crosswalks	14-41
14-28	2015 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways.....	14-45
14-29	2010 Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Stairways.....	14-46

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

14-30 2015 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-48

14-31 2015 No Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-48

14-32 2015 No Build Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods –
Level of Service for Sidewalks.....14-49

14-33 2015 No Build AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods –
Level of Service for Corners14-51

14-34 2015 No Build AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods –
Level of Service for Crosswalks.....14-52

14-35 2015 Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Stairways14-56

14-36 2015 Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Stairways14-57

14-37 2015 Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-58

14-38 2015 Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-58

14-39 2015 Build Conditions – Analysis of Subway Stairway Impacts14-59

14-40 2015 Build Conditions – AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods –
Level of Service for Sidewalks.....14-60

14-41 2015 Build Conditions – AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods –
Level of Service for Corners14-62

14-42 2015 Build Conditions – AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods –
Level of Service for Crosswalks.....14-63

15-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards15-6

15-2 CO Corridor Emissions Analysis15-12

15-3 Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Locations15-14

15-4 Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data.....15-19

15-5 Baseline Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Existing
Concentrations (parts per million).....15-20

15-6 2010 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentrations
(parts per million).....15-20

15-7 2015 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentrations
(parts per million).....15-21

15-8 2035 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentrations
(parts per million).....15-21

15-9 2015 Maximum Predicted PM₁₀ No Build Concentrations15-22

15-10 2010 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Build Concentrations
(parts per million).....15-23

15-11 2015 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Build Concentrations
(parts per million).....15-23

15-12 2035 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Build Concentrations
(parts per million).....15-24

15-13	2015 Maximum Predicted PM ₁₀ Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-24
15-14	2015 Maximum Predicted Incremental 24-hour and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations (mg/m ³)	15-25
15-15	Industrial Source Analysis—Summary of Maximum Predicted Concentrations	15-26
15-16	Regional Emissions Analysis—Annual Pollutant Emissions Reductions (tons/year)	15-26
16-1 1	Common Noise Levels	16-2
16-2	Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels	16-3
16-3	Community Response to Increases in Noise Levels	16-3
16-4	Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review	16-7
16-5	Required Attenuation Values to Achieve AccepInterior Noise Levels	16-7
16-6	Existing Noise Levels at Sites 1 through 12 (in dBA)	16-9
16-7 1	Future No Build Noise Levels (in dBA)	16-11
16-8	Future Build Noise Levels, Scenario 2 (in dBA)	16-12
16-9	Future No Build Noise Levels (in dBA)	16-14
16-10	Future Build Noise Levels, Scenario 1 (in dBA)	16-15
17-1	Comparison of Proposals	17-3
17-2	Vibration Levels Due to Construction Equipment at Various Distances	17-9
17-3	Typical Noise Levels for Construction Equipment	17-15
19-1	2010 Recommended Mitigation Measures	19-6
19-2	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday AM Peak Hour	19-6
19-3	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday Midday Peak Hour	19-7
19-4	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday PM Peak Hour	19-7
19-5	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Saturday Midday Peak Hour	19-9
19-6	2015 Recommended Mitigation Measures	19-11
19-7	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday AM Peak Hour	19-12
19-8	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday Midday Peak Hour	19-13
19-9	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday PM Peak Hour	19-14
19-10	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Saturday Midday Peak Hour	19-15
19-11	2010 Build Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations with Traffic Mitigation (parts per million)	19-22
19-12	2015 Build Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations with Traffic Mitigation (parts per million)	19-23
20-1	Comparison of Land Use Components: No Action and Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenarios (in square feet)	20-3

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

20-2 Arena Alternative vs. Proposed Project Vehicle Trips20-22
20-3 Arena Alternative vs. Proposed Project Bus, Subway, and Pedestrian Trips20-22
B2-1 Study Area Population and Economic Characteristics B-5

List of Figures

		<u>Following Page</u>
S-1	Project Site Location	S-2
1-1	Project Site Location	1-2
1-2	Developer A Proposal Proposed Intermodal Hall	1-8
1-3	Developer A Proposal Proposed Skyline.....	1-8
1-4	Developer B Proposal Farley Complex Level 1	1-8
1-5	Developer B Proposal 32nd Street Pedestrian Passage	1-8
1-6	Developer B Proposal Proposed Overbuild.....	1-8
1-7	Developer C Proposal Proposed Concourse Level.....	1-8
1-8	Developer C Proposal Proposed Intermodal Hall	1-8
1-9	Developer C Proposal Development Transfer Site Building Ground Floor.....	1-8
1-10	Developer C Proposal Proposed Phase I Development.....	1-8
1-11	Developer C Proposal Proposed Train Hall and Skylight	1-8
1-12	Developer C Proposal Development Transfer Site Building.....	1-10
2-1	2010 Future Without the Proposed Action	2-10
2-2	2025 Future Without the Proposed Action	2-10
2-3	2010 Future Without the Proposed Action No.7 Subway Extension	2-10
3-1	Existing Land Use	3-6
3-2	Existing Zoning	3-10
3-3	2010 Future Land Use with the Proposed Action.....	3-18
3-4	2010 Future Land Use with the Proposed Action RWCD Scenarios 1 and 2	3-20
3-5	2010 Future Land Use with the Proposed Action Development Transfer Site	3-20
3-6	2015 Future Land Use Without the Proposed Action.....	3-22
3-7	2015 Future Land Use with the Proposed Action.....	3-24
4-1	Socioeconomic Study Area	4-8
4-2	Rent Regulated and Non-Regulated Housing.....	4-14
5-1	Police and Fire Services	5-4
5-2	Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High Schools.....	5-8
6-1	Open Space Resources	6-6
6-2	Hudson Yards Project: Revised Zoning Alternative S Projected Development Sites.....	6-8
7-1	Shadows Screening.....	7-4
7-2	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram March 21 8AM EST	7-6
7-3	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram March 21 2PM EST	7-6
7-4	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram March 21 3PM EST	7-6
7-5	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram May 6 4PM DST.....	7-6
7-6	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram June 21 3PM DST.....	7-6
7-7	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram December 21 9:15AM EST	7-6
7-8	Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram March 21 3PM EST	7-6
7-9	Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram May 6 7:27AM DST...	7-6
7-10	Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram May 6 4PM DST.....	7-6
7-11	Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram June 8AM DST	7-6

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

7-12 Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram June 21 3PM DST7-6

8-1 Historic Resources8-2

8-2 Historic Resources Farley Building8-6

8-3 Historic Resources Farley Building8-6

8-4 Historic Resources Farley Building8-6

8-5 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-6 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-7 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-8 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-9 Historic Resources Study Area8-10

8-10 Historic Resources Study Area8-10

8-11 Historic Resources Study Area8-10

8-12 Historic Resources Study Area8-12

8-13 Historic Resources Study Area8-12

8-14 Historic Resources Study Area8-12

8-15 Historic Resources Study Area8-14

8-16 Historic Resources Study Area8-14

9-1 Urban Design and Visual Resources Study Area.....9-2

9-2 Study Area Photographs9-6

9-3 Study Area Photographs9-6

9-4 Study Area Photographs9-6

9-5 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-6 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-7 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-8 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-9 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-10 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-11 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-12 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-13 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-14 Visual Resources Key Map.....9-10

9-15 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-16 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-17 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-18 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-19 Views in the Study Area9-12

9-20 2015 Future Without the Proposed Action9-12

9-21 Developer C Proposal Section Showing Intermodal and Train Hall Skylights9-14

9-22 Developer C Proposal Proposed 31st Street Midblock Entrance9-14

9-23 Developer C Proposal Proposed Train Hall and Skylight.....9-16

9-24 Illustrative Diagram of Development on Development Transfer Site9-16

9-25 Perspective View Developer C Phase I Development9-18

9-26 Perspective View Developer C Phase I Development9-18

9-27 Perspective View Developer C Phase I Development9-18

9-28 Developer A Proposal Proposed Overbuild9-20

9-29 Developer B Proposal9-20

13-1 Traffic Study Area13-4

13-2 Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour13-20

13-3	Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-20
13-4	Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour	13-20
13-5	Existing Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-20
13-6	Public Off-Street Parking Facilities.....	13-28
13-7	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour	13-32
13-8	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour	13-32
13-9	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour	13-32
13-10	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour	13-32
13-11	2010 Future with the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes	13-46
13-12	2010 Future with the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes	13-46
13-13	2010 Future with the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes	13-46
13-14	2010 Project Generated Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour	13-46
13-15	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour	13-46
13-16	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour	13-46
13-17	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	13-46
13-18	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour	13-46
13-19	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour	13-58
13-20	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour	13-58
13-21	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour	13-58
13-22	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour	13-58
13-23	2015 Future with the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes	13-70
13-24	2015 Future with the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes	13-70
13-25	2015 Future with the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes	13-70
13-26	2015 Future with the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes	13-70
13-27	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour	13-70
13-28	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour	13-70
13-29	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	13-70
13-30	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour	13-70
14-1	Study Area Transit Service.....	14-4
14-2	Pedestrian Study Area	14-8
14-3	2010 Pedestrian Access Moynihan Station and Off-Site Development Access.....	14-30
14-4	2015 Pedestrian Access Moynihan Station and On Site Development Access.....	14-52
B-2	Environmental Justice Study Area	B-4

*