

FARLEY POST OFFICE

MOYNIHAN STATION REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT



DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PREPARED FOR:

Empire State Development Corporation

PREPARED BY:

AKRF, Inc.

APRIL 2006

**FARLEY POST OFFICE/MOYNIHAN STATION
REDEVELOPMENT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (DEIS)**

Project Location:	Farley Complex: Block bounded by Eighth and Ninth Avenues and West 31st and 33rd Streets Development Transfer Site: Western portion of block bounded by Seventh and Eighth Avenues and West 33rd and 34th Streets New York City
Lead Agency:	Empire State Development Corporation 633 Third Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10017
Lead Agency Contact:	Rachel Shatz
Project Applicant:	Moynihan Station Development Corporation 633 Third Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10017
Preparers:	AKRF, Inc. 440 Park Avenue South New York, NY 10016 Bryan Cave LLP 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104 Eng-Wong Taub & Associates Two Penn Plaza, Suite 2630 New York, NY 10121

Acceptance Date: April 27, 2006

Copies of the DEIS are available for review at the Empire State Development Corporation or at www.empire.state.ny.us. Please call 212-803-3818 to arrange an appointment.

Table of Contents

Foreword	F-1
Executive Summary	S-1
1: Project Description	1-1
A. Project Identification.....	1-1
B. Project Purpose and Need	1-2
Introduction	1-2
James A. Farley Complex	1-2
Project History and Previous Proposals for the Project Site	1-3
Goals And Objectives.....	1-4
C. Description of the Proposed Project	1-5
Introduction	1-5
Preferred Developer Designation Process	1-6
Developer Proposals.....	1-6
Elements Common to All Proposals	1-6
Developer “A” Proposal.....	1-7
Developer “B” Proposal	1-7
Developer “C” Proposal	1-8
Phase I Reasonable Worst-Case Development.....	1-9
Phase II Illustrative Development	1-12
Required Approvals	1-12
D. Planning Context.....	1-13
Planning Context.....	1-13
Hudson Yards Rezoning.....	1-13
Access to the Region’s Core (ARC).....	1-13
Other Projects Within the Penn Station Complex	1-14
E. Ownership and Financing for the Proposed Project.....	1-14
Property Ownership	1-14
Public Funding Commitments.....	1-15
2: Analytical Framework	2-1
A. Introduction.....	2-1
B. Environmental Review Process	2-1
Legislative Applicability	2-1
Process Overview.....	2-2
Establishing a Lead Agency	2-2
Determination of Significance.....	2-2
Scoping.....	2-2
Preparation of the DEIS	2-3

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Public Review	2-3
	Preparation and Completion of the FEIS	2-3
	Statement of Findings	2-3
	General Project Plan Process	2-4
	Coordination with NEPA and Federal Review Process.....	2-4
C.	Required Approvals/List of Principal Actions	2-4
	ESDC Actions.....	2-4
	MSDC Actions.....	2-5
D.	Framework for Environmental Analyses.....	2-5
	Scope of the Environmental Analyses	2-5
	Definition of the Future Without the Proposed Action.....	2-5
	Definition of the Proposed Action	2-6
	Relationship with Other Project Area Actions.....	2-7
	Relationship to Prior Project Site Environmental Reviews	2-9
	Existing Conditions.....	2-9
	Future Without the Proposed Action	2-9
	Definition of Study Areas	2-12
	Alternatives.....	2-14
	3: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	3-1
A.	Introduction	3-1
	Principal Conclusions	3-1
B.	Methodology	3-1
C.	Existing Conditions	3-2
	Development History.....	3-2
	Land Use.....	3-4
	Project Site.....	3-4
	Study Area	3-4
	Zoning.....	3-8
	Zoning Districts	3-8
	Special Districts	3-10
	Public Policy	3-15
	Project Site.....	3-15
	Study Area	3-16
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010.....	3-17
	Land Use.....	3-17
	Project Site.....	3-17
	Study Area	3-17
	Zoning.....	3-18
	Public Policy	3-19
E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	3-19
	Land Use.....	3-19
	Project Site.....	3-19
	Study Area	3-19
	Zoning.....	3-20
	Scenario 1	3-20
	Scenario 2	3-21
	Public Policy	3-21

F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	3-22
	Land Use	3-22
	Project Site	3-22
	Study Area.....	3-22
	Surrounding Context	3-23
	Zoning	3-24
	Public Policy	3-24
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	3-24
	Land Use	3-24
	Project Site	3-24
	Study Area.....	3-24
	Surrounding Context	3-25
	Zoning	3-25
	Public Policy	3-26
 4: Socioeconomic Conditions		 4-1
A.	Introduction.....	4-1
	Background/Issues	4-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	4-1
	Direct Residential Displacement	4-2
	Direct Business and Institutional Displacement.....	4-2
	Indirect Residential Displacement.....	4-2
	Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement	4-3
	Adverse Effects of Specific Industries	4-4
B.	Methodology.....	4-4
	CEQR Manual Overview	4-4
	Analysis Format and Data Sources	4-7
	Analysis Format	4-7
	Study Area Delineation	4-7
	Data Sources.....	4-7
C.	Preliminary Assessment.....	4-8
	Direct Residential Displacement	4-8
	Direct Business and Institutional Displacement.....	4-9
	Phase I	4-9
	Phase II (Scenario 2)	4-9
	Indirect Residential Displacement	4-10
	Population and Housing Profile of the Study Area	4-10
	Future Without the Proposed Action.....	4-17
	CEQR Assessment Criteria	4-18
	Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement	4-21
	Employment and Business Profile of Study Area	4-21
	Future Without the Proposed Action.....	4-26
	CEQR Assessment Criteria	4-27
	Adverse Effects on Specific Industries	4-30
 5: Community Facilities and Services		 5-1
A.	Introduction.....	5-1
B.	Principal Conclusions	5-1

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Police	5-1
	Fire.....	5-1
	Public Schools	5-2
C.	Methodology	5-2
D.	Police Protection	5-2
	Existing Conditions	5-3
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	5-3
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	5-4
	Scenario 1	5-4
	Scenario 2	5-4
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	5-5
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	5-5
E.	Fire Protection and Emergency Services.....	5-5
	Existing Conditions	5-5
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	5-6
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	5-6
	Scenario 1	5-6
	Scenario 2	5-7
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	5-7
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	5-7
F.	Public Schools	5-7
	Existing Conditions	5-7
	Elementary Schools	5-8
	Intermediate/Junior High Schools	5-8
	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	5-9
	Elementary Schools	5-11
	Intermediate/Junior High Schools	5-12
	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	5-12
	Scenario 1	5-12
	Scenario 2	5-12
	Elementary Schools	5-13
	Intermediate/Junior High Schools	5-14
	6: Open Space	6-1
A.	Introduction	6-1
	Principal Conclusions	6-1
B.	Methodology	6-2
C.	Existing Conditions	6-3
	Open Space User Population	6-3
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-3
	½-Mile Study Area	6-3
	Open Space Inventory.....	6-3
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-3
	½-Mile Study Area	6-5
	Quantitative Assessment (Open Space Ratios).....	6-5
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-5
	½-Mile Study Area	6-6
	Qualitative Assessment.....	6-6

D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	6-7
	No Action Project Populations	6-7
	Farley Complex	6-7
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-7
	½-Mile Study Area	6-9
	Open Space Inventory	6-9
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-9
	½-Mile Study Area	6-9
	Quantitative Assessment	6-9
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-9
	½-Mile Study Area	6-10
	Qualitative Assessment	6-11
E.	Future With The Proposed Action: 2010	6-12
	Project Populations.....	6-12
	Scenario 1	6-12
	Scenario 2.....	6-12
	Open Space Inventory	6-13
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-13
	½-Mile Study Area	6-13
	Quantitative Assessment	6-13
	¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1)	6-13
	½-Mile Study Area (Scenario 2)	6-13
	Qualitative Assessment	6-13
	Conclusion.....	6-14
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	6-14
	No Action Project Populations	6-14
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-14
	½-Mile Study Area	6-14
	Open Space Inventory	6-14
	¼-Mile Study Area	6-14
	Quantitative Assessment	6-15
	¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1)	6-15
	Qualitative Assessment	6-15
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	6-16
	¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1)	6-16
	Project Populations.....	6-16
	Open Space Inventory	6-16
	Quantitative Assessment	6-16
	Qualitative Assessment	6-17
	Conclusion.....	6-17
	7: Shadows	7-1
A.	Introduction.....	7-1
B.	Major Conclusions	7-1
C.	Methodology	7-1
D.	Screening	7-2
E.	Potentially Affected Resources	7-3
	Open Spaces	7-3

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	One Penn Plaza	7-3
	Historic Resources	7-4
	Train Concourse Skylight	7-4
	Intermodal Hall Skylight	7-4
	West Side Jewish Center.....	7-4
F.	Shadow Effects by Season	7-4
	Western Annex Overbuild	7-5
	March 21/September 21—Analysis Period: 7:36 AM to 4:29 PM EST.....	7-5
	May 6/August 6—Analysis Period: 7:27 AM to 6:18 PM DST	7-5
	June 21—Analysis Period: 6:57 AM to 7:01 PM DST.....	7-5
	December 21—Analysis Period: 8:51 AM to 2:53 PM EST	7-5
	Development Transfer Site Building	7-6
	March 21/September 21—Analysis Period: 7:36 AM to 4:29 PM EST.....	7-6
	May 6/August 6—Analysis Period: 7:27 AM to 6:18 PM DST	7-6
	June 21—Analysis Period: 6:57 AM to 7:01 PM DST.....	7-6
	December 21—Analysis Period: 8:51 AM to 2:53 PM EST	7-7
G.	Shadow Effects by Resource.....	7-7
	Eastern Caemmerer Yard Open Space.....	7-7
	One Penn Plaza	7-7
	Train Concourse Skylight	7-7
	Intermodal Hall Skylight	7-7
	West Side Jewish Center	7-8
8:	Historic Resources.....	8-1
A.	Introduction	8-1
	Principal Conclusions	8-2
	Scenario 1	8-2
	Phase I.....	8-2
	Phase II	8-3
	Scenario 2	8-3
B.	Methodology	8-4
	Overview	8-4
	Study Area (the Area of Potential Effect).....	8-4
	Criteria and Regulations	8-4
C.	Existing Conditions	8-5
	Project Site.....	8-5
	Farley Complex.....	8-5
	Development Transfer Site	8-8
	Study Area	8-9
	Loft Building (S/NR-Eligible).....	8-9
	St. Michael’s Roman Catholic Church (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-9
	Cheyenne Diner (S/NR-Eligible).....	8-10
	William F. Sloan Memorial YMCA (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible).....	8-10
	Former J.C. Penney Company (S/RN-Eligible).....	8-11
	Glad Tidings Tabernacle (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-11
	Loft Building (S/NR-Eligible).....	8-11
	Pennsylvania Station Service Building (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible).....	8-12
	West Side Jewish Center (S/NR-Eligible)	8-12

Former Manhattan Opera House (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible).....	8-12
New Yorker Hotel (Nycl-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible).....	8-13
Morgan General Mail Facility (S/NR-Eligible)	8-13
Former French Hospital (S/NR-Eligible).....	8-13
Pennsylvania Building (NYCL-Eligible, S/NR-Eligible)	8-14
Hoover Building (S/NR-Eligible)	8-14
D. Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	8-14
Farley Complex	8-15
Development Transfer Site.....	8-15
Study Area.....	8-16
E. Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	8-16
Introduction.....	8-16
Scenario 1.....	8-17
Farley Complex.....	8-17
Study Area.....	8-23
Scenario 2.....	8-24
Development Transfer Site.....	8-24
Study Area.....	8-25
F. Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	8-25
Project Site	8-25
Study Area.....	8-25
G. Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	8-26
Scenario 1.....	8-26
Farley Complex.....	8-26
Study Area.....	8-27
9: Urban Design and Visual Resources	9-1
A. Introduction.....	9-1
Principal Conclusions.....	9-1
Phase I (Scenario 1 and Scenario 2).....	9-1
Phase II (Scenario 1)	9-2
Phase II (Scenario 2)	9-3
B. Methodology.....	9-3
C. Existing Conditions.....	9-5
Project Site	9-5
Urban Design.....	9-5
Visual Resources	9-6
Study Area.....	9-6
Urban Design.....	9-6
Visual Resources	9-9
D. Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010	9-11
Project Site	9-11
Urban Design.....	9-11
Visual Resources	9-11
Study Area.....	9-12
Urban Design.....	9-12
Visual Resources	9-13

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	9-13
	Project Site.....	9-13
	Scenario 1	9-13
	Scenario 2	9-16
	Study Area	9-16
	Scenario 1	9-16
	Scenario 2	9-17
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015.....	9-18
	Project Site.....	9-18
	Study Area	9-18
	Urban Design	9-18
	Visual Resources.....	9-19
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	9-20
	Project Site.....	9-20
	Scenario 1	9-20
	Study Area	9-21
	Scenario 1	9-21
	Visual Resources.....	9-21
10: Neighborhood Character.....		10-1
A.	Introduction	10-1
B.	Principal Conclusions.....	10-1
C.	Methodology	10-2
D.	Existing Conditions	10-3
	Superblock Corridor	10-3
	Hell’s Kitchen.....	10-5
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District.....	10-6
	Chelsea.....	10-7
E.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010.....	10-8
	Superblock Corridor	10-8
	Hell’s Kitchen.....	10-8
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District.....	10-8
	Chelsea.....	10-9
F.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	10-9
	Superblock Corridor	10-9
	Scenario I.....	10-9
	Scenario 2	10-10
	Hell’s Kitchen.....	10-11
	Scenario 1	10-11
	Scenario 2	10-11
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District.....	10-11
	Scenario I.....	10-11
	Scenario 2	10-12
	Chelsea.....	10-12
	Scenario 1	10-12
	Scenario 2	10-13

G.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015	10-13
	Superblock Corridor	10-13
	Hell’s Kitchen	10-13
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District	10-14
	Chelsea	10-14
H.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	10-14
	Superblock Corridor	10-14
	Hell’s Kitchen	10-15
	Garment Center/Herald Square Commercial District	10-16
	Chelsea	10-16
11: Hazardous Materials		11-1
A.	Introduction.....	11-1
B.	Conclusions.....	11-2
	Farley Complex	11-2
	Development Transfer Site.....	11-2
C.	Methodology	11-2
	Farley Complex	11-2
	Development Transfer Site.....	11-3
D.	Existing Conditions.....	11-3
	Farley Complex	11-3
	Land Use History	11-3
	Potential for Site Contamination	11-4
	Development Transfer Site.....	11-6
E.	Future Without the Proposed Action	11-6
F.	Future With the Proposed Action	11-6
	Farley Complex	11-6
	Types of Potential Impacts	11-6
	Preventative Measures to Minimize Impacts	11-7
	Further Investigations.....	11-7
	Measures to Manage Contaminated Materials During Construction	11-8
	Acm Management Plan	11-9
	LBP Management Plan.....	11-9
	PCB-Containing Equipment Management Plant.....	11-9
	Development Transfer Site.....	11-10
	Conclusions	11-10
12: Infrastructure, Solid Waste and Sanitation Services, and Energy.....		12-1
A.	Introduction.....	12-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	12-1
	Water Supply	12-1
	Sanitary Sewage	12-1
	Solid Waste	12-2
	Energy	12-2
B.	Methodology	12-2
	Water Supply.....	12-2
	Sanitary Sewage	12-3

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Solid Waste.....	12-3
	Energy.....	12-3
C.	Existing Conditions.....	12-3
	Water Supply.....	12-3
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-4
	Solid Waste.....	12-6
	Energy.....	12-6
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010.....	12-7
	Water Supply.....	12-7
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-8
	Solid Waste.....	12-8
	Energy.....	12-9
E.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	12-9
	Water Supply.....	12-10
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-10
	Solid Waste.....	12-11
	Energy.....	12-12
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015.....	12-12
	Water Supply.....	12-12
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-13
	Solid Waste.....	12-13
	Energy.....	12-13
G.	Future With the Proposed Action: 2015.....	12-14
	Water Supply.....	12-14
	Sanitary Sewage.....	12-15
	Solid Waste.....	12-15
	Energy.....	12-15
13: Traffic and Parking		13-1
A.	Introduction.....	13-1
	Principal Conclusions.....	13-1
B.	Methodology.....	13-1
	Overview.....	13-1
	Travel Demand Projections.....	13-2
	Trip Generation Procedures.....	13-2
	Trip Generation Estimates.....	13-3
	Future Without the Proposed Action.....	13-7
	Future With the Proposed Action.....	13-8
	Roadway Characteristics.....	13-16
	Operational Analysis Methodology.....	13-18
	Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis.....	13-18
C.	Existing Conditions.....	13-19
	Study Area Roadways and Peak Hour Traffic Volumes.....	13-19
	Tenth Avenue.....	13-19
	Ninth Avenue.....	13-19
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway.....	13-19
	Eighth Avenue.....	13-20
	Seventh Avenue.....	13-20

	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-20
	West 34th Street.....	13-21
	Level of Service Analysis	13-21
	Summary of Analysis Results	13-22
	Locations with Substandard Operating Levels or High v/c Ratios	13-23
	Parking	13-28
	Off-Street Parking	13-28
	On-Street Parking	13-28
D.	Future Without the Proposed Action 2010	13-32
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	13-32
	Tenth Avenue	13-32
	Ninth Avenue	13-32
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway	13-33
	Eighth Avenue.....	13-33
	Seventh Avenue.....	13-33
	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-33
	West 34th Street.....	13-34
	Other Crosstown Streets.....	13-34
	Parking	13-36
	Off-Street Parking	13-36
	On-Street Parking.....	13-37
E.	Future with the Proposed Action 2010	13-37
	Trip Distribution and Assignment.....	13-37
	Autos	13-37
	Taxis	13-38
	Taxi Diversions from Penn Station to Moynihan Station	13-45
	Deliveries	13-45
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	13-46
	Tenth Avenue	13-46
	Ninth Avenue	13-46
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway	13-46
	Eighth Avenue.....	13-47
	Seventh Avenue.....	13-47
	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-47
	West 34th Street.....	13-48
	Other Crosstown Streets.....	13-48
	Significant Impacts.....	13-54
	Parking	13-55
	Off-Street Parking	13-55
	On-Street Parking.....	13-56
F.	Future Without the Proposed Action 2015	13-56
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	13-57
	Tenth Avenue	13-57
	Ninth Avenue	13-57
	Dyer Avenue/Lincoln Tunnel Expressway	13-58
	Eighth Avenue.....	13-58
	Seventh Avenue.....	13-58
	Sixth Avenue and Broadway	13-58

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	West 34th Street	13-59
	Other Crosstown Streets	13-59
	Parking.....	13-68
	Off-Street Parking.....	13-68
	On-Street Parking	13-68
G.	Future With the Proposed Action 2015	13-69
	Trip Distribution and Assignment	13-69
	Autos.....	13-69
	Taxis	13-69
	Taxi Diversions from Penn Station to Moynihan Station.....	13-69
	Deliveries	13-70
	Traffic Volumes and Level of Service.....	13-70
	Significant Impacts	13-73
	Parking.....	13-80
	Off-Street Parking.....	13-80
	On-Street Parking	13-81
H.	Pedestrian safety.....	13-82
14: Transit and Pedestrians.....		14-1
A.	Introduction	14-1
B.	Principal Conclusions.....	14-1
C.	Methodology	14-2
	Overview	14-2
	Travel Demand Projections	14-2
	Related Environmental Reviews.....	14-3
	Transit.....	14-4
	Study Area	14-4
	Transit Service in the Study Area	14-4
	Transit Ridership Estimates	14-6
	Operational Analysis Methodology	14-7
	Pedestrians	14-8
	Pedestrian Study Area.....	14-8
	Pedestrian Volumes	14-8
	Operations Analysis Methodology	14-9
C.	Existing Conditions	14-10
	Subway Station Operations.....	14-10
	Pedestrian Circulation.....	14-11
D.	2010 Future Analysis Year.....	14-20
	The Future Without the Proposed Action	14-20
	Changes in the Transit and Pedestrian Network	14-20
	Analysis Results.....	14-21
	Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	14-29
	Changes in the Transit and Pedestrian Network	14-29
	Analysis Results.....	14-30
E.	2015 Future Analysis Year.....	14-41
	The Future Without the Proposed Action	14-42
	Changes in the Transit and Pedestrian Network	14-42
	Analysis Results.....	14-42

Probable Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	14-52
Changes in the Transit and Pedestrian Network.....	14-52
Analysis Results	14-53
15: Air Quality	15-1
A. Introduction.....	15-1
Principal Conclusions.....	15-1
B. Pollutants for Analysis.....	15-2
Carbon Monoxide.....	15-2
Nitrogen Oxides, VOCs, and Ozone	15-2
Lead.....	15-3
Respirable Particulate Matter—PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5}	15-3
Sulfur Dioxide	15-4
C. Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks.....	15-4
National and State Air Quality Standards	15-4
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	15-5
Determining the Significance of Air Quality Impacts.....	15-7
De Minimis Criteria Regarding CO Impacts.....	15-7
Interim Guidance Criteria Regarding PM _{2.5} Impacts.....	15-7
Conformity Requirements	15-8
D. Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations	15-9
Mobile Sources.....	15-9
Dispersion Model for Microscale Analyses	15-10
Meteorology	15-10
Analysis Year	15-11
Vehicle Emissions Data.....	15-12
Traffic Data	15-13
Background Concentrations	15-13
Mobile Source Analysis Sites.....	15-14
Receptor Locations.....	15-14
Relationship with Other Area Actions - Hudson Yards Rezoning and Redevelopment Plan.....	15-14
Stationary Sources.....	15-15
Industrial Sources	15-15
Regional Emissions Analysis	15-16
Njt and Amtrak-Induced Ridership	15-17
Two-Seat Ride Program to Area Airports with Remote Check-in at Penn Station	15-17
Reduced Taxi Circulation and Additional Taxi Idling (Due to New Taxi Bay) .	15-17
Reduction of Usps Truck Idling While Entering Loading Docks	15-17
Analysis Assumptions	15-18
E. Existing Conditions.....	15-18
Existing Monitored Air Quality Conditions (2004)	15-18
Modeled Existing Pollutant Concentrations—CO Emissions from Mobile Sources	15-19
F. Future Without the Proposed Action	15-19
Mobile Sources.....	15-19
CO	15-19
2010.....	15-20
2015.....	15-20

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	2035	15-21
	PM ₁₀	15-21
G.	Future With the Proposed Action.....	15-22
	Mobile Sources	15-22
	CO.....	15-22
	2010 Analysis Year.....	15-22
	2015 Analysis Year.....	15-23
	2035 Analysis Year.....	15-24
	PM ₁₀	15-24
	Stationary Sources	15-25
	Industrial Sources	15-25
H.	Regional Emissions Analysis	15-25
16: Noise		16-1
A.	Introduction	16-1
	Principal Conclusions	16-1
	Noise Fundamentals.....	16-1
	“A”-Weighted Sound Level (dBA).....	16-2
	Community Response to Changes in Noise Levels	16-3
	Noise Descriptors Used in Impact Assessment	16-4
B.	Noise Prediction Methodology.....	16-4
	Applicable Noise Codes and Impact Criteria	16-6
	New York City Noise Code	16-6
	New York CEQR Noise Standards	16-6
C.	Existing Conditions	16-6
	Project Site Description	16-6
	Selection of Noise Receptor Locations.....	16-8
	Noise Monitoring.....	16-8
	Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring.....	16-8
	Existing Noise Levels at Noise Receptor Locations.....	16-9
	Measured Noise Levels.....	16-9
D.	The Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010.....	16-10
E.	The Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	16-10
F.	The Future Without the Proposed Action: 2015.....	16-13
G.	The Future With the Proposed Action: 2015.....	16-15
H.	Other Noise Concerns	16-16
	Mechanical Equipment	16-16
	Emergency Ventilation Equipment.....	16-17
	Attenuation Requirements	16-17
17: Construction Impacts		17-1
A.	Introduction	17-1
	Principal Conclusions	17-1
B.	Overview of Construction Activities.....	17-2
	Construction Phases.....	17-3
	General Construction Activities.....	17-3
	Demolition and Abatement.....	17-4
	Rail Access and Operations	17-4

Historic Preservation	17-5
Moynihan Station and the Farley Complex.....	17-6
Overbuild (Core and Shell) Construction.....	17-6
Interior Construction and Finishing	17-7
Developer Proposals.....	17-7
Developer “A” Proposal.....	17-7
Developer “B” Proposal	17-7
Developer “C” Proposal	17-7
C. Future With the Proposed Action	17-8
Postal Service	17-8
Historic Resources	17-8
Hazardous Materials.....	17-10
Transportation	17-11
Street and Sidewalk Closures	17-11
Traffic.....	17-12
Pedestrians.....	17-12
Transit.....	17-13
Air Quality	17-13
Fugitive Emissions	17-13
Mobile Source Emissions.....	17-14
Noise	17-15
18: Public Health.....	18-1
A. Introduction and Methodology	18-1
B. Principal Conclusions	18-2
19: Mitigation	19-1
A. Introduction.....	19-1
B. Community Facilities.....	19-1
Fire Protection and Emergency Services.....	19-1
Public Schools.....	19-1
C. Historic Resources	19-2
Phase I of the Proposed Project.....	19-2
Phase II of the Proposed Project	19-3
D. Hazardous Materials	19-3
E. Traffic and Parking.....	19-5
2010 Recommended Mitigation Measures.....	19-5
Sixth Avenue and West 35th Street.....	19-6
Seventh Avenue and West 33rd Street	19-6
Seventh Avenue and West 34th Street	19-7
Eighth Avenue and West 30th Street	19-8
Eighth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-8
Eighth Avenue and West 33rd Street	19-8
Eighth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-8
Ninth Avenue and West 30th Street.....	19-8
Ninth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-8
Ninth Avenue and West 31st Street	19-9
Dyer Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-10

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

	Tenth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-10
	2015 Recommended Mitigation Measures	19-10
	Broadway/Sixth Avenue and West 34th Street.....	19-16
	Sixth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-16
	Sixth Avenue and West 35th Street	19-16
	Seventh Avenue and West 30th Street.....	19-16
	Seventh Avenue and West 33rd Street.....	19-16
	Seventh Avenue and West 34th Street.....	19-16
	Eighth Avenue and West 30th Street	19-17
	Eighth Avenue and West 31st Street	19-17
	Eighth Avenue and West 32nd Street	19-17
	Eighth Avenue and West 33rd Street.....	19-17
	Eighth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-17
	Eighth Avenue and West 35th Street	19-17
	Ninth Avenue and West 30th Street.....	19-17
	Ninth Avenue and West 31st Street	19-17
	Ninth Avenue and West 34th Street.....	19-18
	Dyer Avenue and West 31st Street	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 30th Street	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 31st Street.....	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 33rd Street	19-18
	Tenth Avenue and West 34th Street	19-18
F.	Transit and Pedestrians.....	19-19
	Subway Station Elements	19-19
	2010 Recommended Mitigation Measures	19-19
	2015 Recommended Mitigation Measures	19-19
	Pedestrian Elements.....	19-19
	2010 Recommended Mitigation Measures	19-20
	2015 Recommended Mitigation Measures	19-21
G.	Air Quality.....	19-23
20:	Alternatives.....	20-1
A.	Introduction	20-1
	Overview	20-1
	Principal Conclusions	20-1
B.	No Action Alternative	20-2
	Description of Alternative	20-2
	Alternative Compared to the Proposed Project.....	20-2
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	20-2
	Socioeconomic Conditions	20-3
	Community Facilities.....	20-4
	Open Space and Recreational Facilities.....	20-4
	Shadows.....	20-5
	Historic Resources	20-5
	Urban Design and Visual Resources.....	20-5
	Neighborhood Character.....	20-5
	Hazardous Materials	20-5
	Infrastructure and Energy	20-6

	Traffic and Parking.....	20-6
	Transit and Pedestrians.....	20-9
	Air Quality.....	20-10
	Noise.....	20-10
	Construction Impacts.....	20-10
	Public Health	20-11
C.	Arena Alternative: Relocated Madison Square Garden Plus	
	Off-Site Mixed-Use Development.....	20-11
	Description of the Arena Alternative	20-11
	Analytical Framework for the Arena Alternative.....	20-11
	Alternative Compared to the Proposed Action.....	20-14
	Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	20-14
	Socioeconomic Conditions.....	20-15
	Community Facilities	20-16
	Open Space.....	20-16
	Shadows	20-17
	Historic Resources.....	20-17
	Urban Design and Visual Resources	20-18
	Neighborhood Character	20-18
	Hazardous Materials.....	20-19
	Infrastructure and Energy.....	20-20
	Traffic and Parking.....	20-21
	Transit and Pedestrians.....	20-21
	Air Quality.....	20-22
	Noise.....	20-23
	Construction Impacts.....	20-23
	Public Health	20-24
D.	Additional Development Transfer	20-24
	Description of Alternative.....	20-24
	Alternative Compared to the Proposed Project	20-25

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

21: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts21-1
22: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Action.....22-1
23: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources23-1
24: Short Term Uses vs. Long Term Productivity.....24-1

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Assessment of Morgan Facility Consolidation

Appendix B: Environmental Justice

Appendix C: Historic Resources Appendix

List of Tables

S-1	Floor Area of Land Use Components: 2003 Draft Sea, Developer Proposals, and Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario (in square feet).....	6
S-2	2010 Traffic Impact Locations.....	18
S-3	2015 Traffic Impact Locations.....	24
S-4	2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	26
S-5	2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	29
1-1	Floor Area of Land Use Components: 2003 Draft Sea, Developer Proposals, and Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario (in square feet).....	1-10
2-1	Comparison of Farley Complex Land Use Components: No Action and Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenarios (in square feet)	2-6
2-2	Developments Completed in 2004 but Not Included in the DEIS Baseline Data Collection.....	2-10
2-3	Development Proposed or Under Construction Expected to Be Completed in the Future Without the Proposed Action (Not Associated with Hudson Yards Project)	2-11
2-4	Development Proposed to Be Completed in the Future Without the Proposed Project (Non Rezoning Elements of Hudson Yards Project)	2-12
2-5	Projected Development Sites to Be Completed in the Future Without the Proposed Project – Hudson Yards Rezoning	2-13
4-1	Population Trends	4-11
4-2	Household and Population Income Characteristics	4-12
4-3	Household and Population Income Characteristics by Study Area Census Tracts	4-12
4-4	Housing Characteristics	4-13
4-5	Housing Characteristics: Unit Sizes and Rents.....	4-14
4-6	Single Room Occupancy Units in the Study Area.....	4-15
4-7	Major Residential Development in the Study Area Completed Since 2000.....	4-16
4-8	2004 Asking Rents at Selected Midtown West Apartment Buildings	4-16
4-9	1991 and 2000 Private Sector Employment.....	4-22
4-10	Private Sector Employment In the Study Area	4-23
5-1	Police Protection	5-3

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

5-2 Fire Protection Services.....5-6

5-3 2003–2004 School Year: Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization5-9

5-4 Future Without the Proposed Action: Proposed Development Expected to Be Completed in the Study Area5-10

5-5 Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010—Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Generated by the New Housing Units5-11

5-6 Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010—Estimated Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollment Capacity and Utilization.....5-12

5-7 Future With the Proposed Action, Scenario 2: 2010—Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Generated by the New Housing Units.....5-13

5-8 Future With the Proposed Action Scenario 2: 2010—Estimated Public Elementary/Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization5-13

6-1 Existing Resident and Daytime Populations6-3

6-2 Existing Open Space Inventory.....6-4

6-3 Analysis of Adequacy of Existing Public Open Space Resources in the ¼- and ½-Mile Study Areas6-6

6-4 Estimated Residential and Daytime Population from Projects Expected to Be Completed by 20106-8

6-5 Hudson Yards Projected Development in the ¼- and ½-Mile Study Areas 2010 Analysis Year.....6-8

6-6 Analysis of Adequacy of Public Open Space Resources in the ¼-Mile Study Area (Scenario 1): 2010.....6-10

6-7 Analysis of Adequacy of Public Open Space Resources in the ½-Mile Study Area (Scenario 2): 2010.....6-11

6-8 Estimated Residential and Daytime Population within the ¼-Mile Study Area from Projects Expected to Be Completed by 20156-14

6-9 Hudson Yards Projected Development Located Within The ¼-Mile Study Area: 2015 Build Year.....6-15

6-10 Analysis of Adequacy of Public Open Space Resources in the ¼-Mile Study Area: 2015.....6-16

7-1 Shadows Screening: Open Spaces/Historic Resources within Maximum Shadow Sweep Area.....7-3

7-2 Phase II Overbuild: Shadow Duration Table7-5

7-3 Phase II Development Transfer Site Building: Shadow Duration Table7-6

8-1 Architectural Resources8-9

12-1 Monthly Flow to North River WPCP12-5

12-2	Farley Complex Water Usage and Sewage Generation Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010 (in gallons per day).....	12-7
12-3	Farley Complex Solid Waste Generation Future Without the Proposed Action: 2010 (in pounds per week).....	12-9
12-4	Proposed Project Water Usage and Sewage Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 2010.....	12-10
12-5	Proposed Project Solid Waste Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 2010...	12-11
12-6	Proposed Project Energy Usage Future With the Proposed Action: 2010	12-13
12-7	Proposed Project Water Usage and Sewage Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 2015.....	12-14
12-8	Proposed Project Solid Waste Generation Future With the Proposed Action: 2015..	12-16
12-9	Proposed Project Energy Usage Future With the Proposed Action: 2015	12-16
13-1	Travel Demand Assumptions.....	13-4
13-2	Rail Road Uses-Incremental Ridership.....	13-6
13-3	Trip Generation-USPS, Office, and Retail Uses 2010 Future Without the Proposed Action.....	13-9
13-4	Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2010 Future Without the Proposed Action	13-10
13-5	Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2015 Future Without the Proposed Action	13-11
13-6	RWCDS Trip Generation-Retail, Hotel, and Banquet Uses 2010 Future With the Proposed Action.....	13-13
13-7	Incremental Trip Generation 2010 Future With the Proposed Action*	13-14
13-8	Trip Generation-Hotel, Retail, and Residential Uses 2010 Phase II Off-Site.....	13-14
13-9	Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2010 Future With the Proposed Action.....	13-15
13-10	Trip Generation-Office Use 2015 Phase II Overbuild.....	13-16
13-11	Trip Generation-Transit Uses 2015 Future With the Proposed Action.....	13-17
13-12	Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results.....	13-24
13-13	Existing Off-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy	13-29
13-14	Existing Saturday on-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy.....	13-31
13-15	Existing and 2010 No Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results	13-40
13-16	2010 No Build and Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results.....	13-49
13-17	2010 Build Increment Parking Assignments Per Facility	13-56
13-18	2010 Build Off-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy	13-56
13-19	Existing and 2015 No Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results	13-61
13-20	2015 No Build and Build Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results.....	13-74
13-21	2015 Build Increment Parking Assignments Per Facility	13-81

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

13-22 2015 Build Off-Street Parking Inventory and Occupancy13-81

13-23 Summary of High Bicyclist and Pedestrian Accident Locations13-82

14-1 2010 and 2015 Build Conditions Incremental Increase in Person Trip Generation
(RWCDs – As-of-Right).....14-2

14-2 Study Area Subway Service14-5

14-3 Study Area Bus Routes14-6

14-5 Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements.....14-10

14-8 Existing Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-14

14-9 Existing Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for Subway Control Areas 14-14

14-10 Existing Conditions AM, Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Sidewalks....14-15

14-11 Existing Conditions AM, Midday, PM, Saturday Midday Peak Periods – Level of
Service for Corners.....14-17

14-12 Existing Conditions AM, Midday Peak Periods – Level of Service for Crosswalks..14-18

14-13 2010 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Stairways14-22

14-14 2010 No Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Stairways14-23

14-15 2010 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-24

14-16 2010 No Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-24

14-22 2010 Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-33

14-23 2010 Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-34

14-24 2010 Build Conditions – Analysis of Subway Stairway Impacts14-34

14-30 2015 No Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-46

14-31 2015 No Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-46

14-37 2015 Build Conditions AM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-56

14-38 2015 Build Conditions PM Peak Period – Level of Service for
Subway Control Areas.....14-56

14-39 2015 Build Conditions – Analysis of Subway Stairway Impacts14-58

15-1 Ambient Air Quality Standards15-6

15-2	CO Corridor Emissions Analysis	15-12
15-3	Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Locations	15-14
15-4	Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data	15-19
15-5	Baseline Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Existing Concentrations (parts per million)	15-20
15-6	2010 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-20
15-7	2015 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-21
15-8	2035 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-21
15-9	2015 Maximum Predicted PM ₁₀ No Build Concentrations.....	15-22
15-10	2010 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-23
15-11	2015 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-23
15-12	2035 Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-24
15-13	2015 Maximum Predicted PM ₁₀ Build Concentrations (parts per million)	15-24
15-14	2015 Maximum Predicted Incremental 24-hour and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations (mg/m ³)	15-25
15-15	Industrial Source Analysis—Summary of Maximum Predicted Concentrations	15-26
15-16	Regional Emissions Analysis—Annual Pollutant Emissions Reductions (tons/year)	15-26
16-1	1 Common Noise Levels.....	16-2
16-2	Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels	16-3
16-3	Community Response to Increases in Noise Levels	16-3
16-4	Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review	16-7
16-5	Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels	16-7
16-6	Existing Noise Levels at Sites 1 through 12 (in dBA).....	16-9
16-7	1 Future No Build Noise Levels (in dBA)	16-11
16-8	Future Build Noise Levels, Scenario 2 (in dBA)	16-12
16-9	Future No Build Noise Levels (in dBA)	16-14
16-10	Future Build Noise Levels, Scenario 1 (in dBA)	16-15
17-1	Comparison of Proposals	17-3
17-2	Vibration Levels Due to Construction Equipment at Various Distances.....	17-9

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

17-3 Typical Noise Levels for Construction Equipment 17-15

19-1 2010 Recommended Mitigation Measures 19-5

19-2 2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Weekday AM Peak Hour 19-6

19-3 2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Weekday Midday Peak Hour 19-7

19-4 2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Weekday PM Peak Hour 19-7

19-5 2010 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Saturday Midday Peak Hour 19-9

19-6 2015 Recommended Mitigation Measures 19-11

19-7 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Weekday AM Peak Hour 19-12

19-8 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Weekday Midday Peak Hour 19-13

19-9 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Weekday PM Peak Hour 19-14

19-10 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigation Conditions Level of Service Analysis Results
Saturday Midday Peak Hour 19-15

19-11 2010 Build Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations
with Traffic Mitigation (parts per million) 19-23

19-12 2015 Build Maximum Predicted 8-hour Average Carbon Monoxide Concentrations
With traffic Mitigation (parts per million) 19-24

20-1 Comparison of Land Use Components: No Action and Reasonable
Worst-Case Development Scenarios (in square feet) 20-3

20-2 Arena Alternative vs. Proposed Project Vehicle Trips 20-22

20-3 Arena Alternative vs. Proposed Project Bus, Subway, and Pedestrian Trips 20-22

B2-1 Study Area Population and Economic Characteristics B-5

List of Figures

Following Page

S-1	Project Site Location	S-2
S-2	Proposed Phase I Ground-Floor Plan Developer C Proposal	S-6
S-3	Proposed Phase I Concourse Level Developer C Proposal	S-6
S-4	Proposed Train Hall and Skylight Developer C Proposal	S-6
S-5	Proposed Overbuild Developer B Proposal	S-8
S-6	Proposed Development Transfer Site Building Developer C Proposal	S-8
1-1	Project Site Location	1-2
1-2	Developer A Proposal Proposed Intermodal Hall	1-8
1-3	Developer A Proposal Proposed Skyline.....	1-8
1-4	Developer B Proposal Farley Complex Level 1	1-8
1-5	Developer B Proposal 32 nd Street Pedestrian Passage.....	1-8
1-6	Developer B Proposal Proposed Overbuild.....	1-8
1-7	Developer C Proposal Proposed Concourse Level.....	1-8
1-8	Developer C Proposal Proposed Intermodal Hall	1-8
1-9	Developer C Proposal Development Transfer Site Building Ground Floor	1-8
1-10	Developer C Proposal Proposed Phase I Development.....	1-8
1-11	Developer C Proposal Proposed Train Hall and Skylight	1-8
1-12	Developer C Proposal Development Transfer Site Building.....	1-10
2-1	2010 Future Without the Proposed Action	2-10
2-2	2025 Future Without the Proposed Action	2-10
2-3	2010 Future Without the Proposed Action No.7 Subway Extension	2-10
3-1	Existing Land Use	3-4
3-2	Existing Zoning	3-10
3-3	2010 Future Land Use With the Proposed Action.....	3-18
3-4	2010 Future Land Use With the Proposed Action RWCD Scenarios 1 and 2.....	3-20
3-5	2010 Future Land Use With the Proposed Action Development Transfer Site.....	3-20
3-6	2015 Future Land Use Without the Proposed Action.....	3-22
3-7	2015 Future Land Use With the Proposed Action.....	3-24
4-1	Socioeconomic Study Area	4-8
4-2	Rent Regulated and Non-Regulated Housing.....	4-14
5-1	Police and Fire Services	5-4
5-2	Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High Schools	5-8
6-1	Open Space Resources	6-4
6-2	Hudson Yards Project: Revised Zoning Alternative S Projected Development Sites	6-8
7-1	Shadows Screening.....	7-4
7-2	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram March 21 8AM EST	7-6
7-3	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram March 21 2PM EST	7-6
7-4	Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram March 21 3PM EST	7-6

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

7-5 Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram May 6 4PM DST7-6

7-6 Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram June 21 3PM DST7-6

7-7 Phase II Overbuild Shadow Diagram December 21 9:15AM EST.....7-6

7-8 Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram March 21 3PM EST7-6

7-9 Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram May 6 7:27AM DST ...7-6

7-10 Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram May 6 4PM DST7-6

7-11 Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram June 8AM DST7-6

7-12 Phase II Development Transfer Site Building Shadow Diagram June 21 3PM DST7-6

8-1 Historic Resources8-2

8-2 Historic Resources Farley Building8-6

8-3 Historic Resources Farley Building8-6

8-4 Historic Resources Farley Building8-8

8-5 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-6 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-7 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-8 Historic Resources Western Annex8-8

8-9 Historic Resources Study Area8-10

8-10 Historic Resources Study Area8-10

8-11 Historic Resources Study Area8-10

8-12 Historic Resources Study Area8-12

8-13 Historic Resources Study Area8-12

8-14 Historic Resources Study Area8-12

8-15 Historic Resources Study Area8-14

8-16 Historic Resources Study Area8-14

9-1 Urban Design and Visual Resources Study Area.....9-2

9-2 Study Area Photographs9-6

9-3 Study Area Photographs9-6

9-4 Study Area Photographs9-6

9-5 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-6 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-7 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-8 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-9 Study Area Photographs9-8

9-10 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-11 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-12 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-13 Study Area Photographs9-10

9-14 Visual Resources Key Map.....9-10

9-15 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-16 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-17 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-18 Views in the Study Area9-10

9-19 Views in the Study Area9-12

9-20 2015 Future Without the Proposed Action9-12

9-21 Developer C Proposal Section Showing Intermodal and Train Hall Skylights9-14

9-22 Developer C Proposal Proposed 31st Street Midblock Entrance.....9-14

9-23 Developer C Proposal Proposed Train Hall and Skylight.....9-16

9-24 Illustrative Diagram of Development on Development Transfer Site9-16

9-25	Perspective View Developer C Phase I Development.....	9-18
9-26	Perspective View Developer C Phase I Development.....	9-18
9-27	Perspective View Developer C Phase I Development.....	9-18
9-28	Developer A Proposal Proposed Overbuild.....	9-20
9-29	Developer B Proposal	9-20
13-1	Traffic Study Area	13-2
13-2	Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour	13-20
13-3	Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour	13-20
13-4	Existing Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	13-20
13-5	Existing Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour	13-20
13-6	Public Off-Street Parking Facilities	13-28
13-7	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	13-32
13-8	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-32
13-9	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	13-32
13-10	2010 No Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-32
13-11	2010 Future With the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour	13-46
13-12	2010 Future With the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour	13-46
13-13	2010 Future With the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	13-46
13-14	2010 Future With the Proposed Action Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-46
13-15	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	13-46
13-16	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-46
13-17	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour	13-46
13-18	2010 Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-46
13-19	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	13-58
13-20	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-58
13-21	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	13-58
13-22	2015 No Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-58
13-23	2015 Future With the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour	13-70
13-24	2015 Future With the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour	13-70
13-25	2015 Future With the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	13-70
13-26	2015 Future With the Proposed Action Incremental Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour	13-70
13-27	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	13-70
13-28	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-70
13-29	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Weekday PM Peak Hour	13-70
13-30	2015 Build Traffic Volumes Saturday Midday Peak Hour.....	13-70
14-1	Study Area Transit Service	14-4
14-2	Pedestrian Study Area.....	14-8
14-3	2010 Pedestrian Access Moynihan Station and Off-Site Development Access	14-30
14-4	2015 Pedestrian Access Moynihan Station and On Site Development Access	14-52
15-1	Mobile Source Analysis Site Locations	15-14

Farley Post Office/Moynihan Station Redevelopment Project

16-1 Noise Receptor Locations.....16-8
B-2 Environmental Justice Study Area B-4

*